

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.		FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/032,013		12/31/2001	Kazuo Kashima	826.1781	5783	
21171	7590	10/20/2006		EXAM	EXAMINER	
STAAS & HALSEY LLP				SMITH, 1	SMITH, TRACI L	
	SUITE 700 1201 NEW YORK AVENUE, N.W.			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
WASHINGTON, DC 20005				3629		
	•			DATE MAILED: 10/20/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)						
	10/032,013	KASHIMA, KAZUO						
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit						
	Traci L. Smith	3629						
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).								
Status	,							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 24 Ju	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 24 July 2006.							
	action is non-final.							
		esecution as to the merits is						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Disposition of Claims								
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-12</u> is/are pending in the application.								
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	vn from consideration							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		•						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-12</u> is/are rejected.		•						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.								
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.							
Application Papers	·							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner		·						
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·								
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abovenee. See 37 CER 1.85(s)								
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).								
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119								
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:								
 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 								
·								
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).								
		ed.						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
Attachment(s)								
1) X Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) 🔲 Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da							
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	5) Notice of Informal P							
Paper No(s)/Mail Date								

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 10/032,013

Art Unit: 3629

DETAILED ACTION

This action is in response to papers filed on July 24, 2006.

Claims 13-14 have been cancelled.

Claim 1 has been amended.

Claims 1-12 are pending.

Claims 1-12 are rejected.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:
 - 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
 - 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
 - 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
 - 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.
- 3. Claims 1-12 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over US Patent 5,576,954 Driscoll; Process for Determination of Text Relevancy. Hereinafter referred to as Driscoll.
- 4. As to claims 1, 4, 7 and 8-12 Driscoll teaches

Application/Control Number: 10/032,013

Art Unit: 3629

Page 3

- Determining similarities if text using importance levels and frequencies.
 Fig 3 C. 101; I. 23-35).
- b. Ranking the text based on the similarities(Fig. 2 Ref. 555 C. 6 I. 19-20). Driscoll fails to teach the similarity determinations used in a corporate training material environment. However, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to apply Driscoll's similarity determinations in the training arena to provide corporations with the most appropriate information saving them time and money.
- ****The examiner notes the applicant does not positively recite the user actually selecting the training materials as currently claimed the "selection" is just an option that is available to the user but never actually takes place.
- 2. As to claims 2 and 5 Driscoll teaches an apparatus that uses importance levels of words when computing similarities(C. 101 I. 10-12).
- 3. As to claims 3 and 6 Driscoll teaches querying words from documents(Fig. 5-6).

Response to Arguments

4. Applicant's arguments, see papers, filed July 24, 2006, with respect to the rejection(s) of claim(s) 1-12 under 35 USC 112 1st paragraph and 35 USC 102(e) and 103 have been fully considered and are persuasive. Therefore, the rejection has been withdrawn. However, upon further consideration, a new ground(s) of rejection is made in view of the above sited reference; US Patent 5,576,954 Driscoll.

Application/Control Number: 10/032,013

Art Unit: 3629

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Traci L. Smith whose telephone number is 571-272-6809. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday 6:00 am-4:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John Weiss can be reached on 571-272-6812. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

TLS

JOHN G. WEISS SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600

gu. L

Page 4